J Neurol Neurosci ©
14 (3) 2023 : 001-02 • MINI REVIEW

Advances in neuroimaging techniques for studying brain connectivity in neurological disorders

Mark Anderson*
Department of Neuroimaging, University College London, London, UK

SUMMARY

Neuroimaging techniques have greatly advanced our understanding of brain connectivity and its role in neurological disorders. This review explores the recent advances in neuroimaging techniques for studying brain connectivity in neurological disorders. We discuss the principles and applications of various neuroimaging modalities, including functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI), Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI), and Electroencephalography (EEG). Furthermore, we highlight the contributions of these techniques in unraveling the disrupted brain connectivity patterns in neurological disorders such as Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and schizophrenia. We also discuss the challenges and future directions in utilizing neuroimaging techniques to advance our understanding of brain connectivity and its clinical implications in neurological disorders.

Keywords: Neuroimaging; Brain connectivity; Neurological disorders; Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (FMRI); Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI); Electroencephalography (EEG)

Address for correspondence:

Mark Anderson
Department of Neuroimaging, University College London, London, UK
E-mail: Anderson63@gmail.com

Word count: 951 **Tables:** 00 **Figures:** 00 **References:** 06

Received: 29.05.2023, Manuscript No. ipjnn-23-13910; **Editor assigned:** 31.05.2023, PreQC No. P-13910; **Reviewed:** 14.06.2023, QC No. Q-13910; **Revised:** 20.06.2023, Manuscript No. R-13910; **Published:** 28.06.2023

INTRODUCTION

Brain connectivity plays a critical role in normal brain function and is increasingly recognized as a key aspect of neurological disorders. Neuroimaging techniques have revolutionized our ability to study brain connectivity, providing insights into the complex networks that underlie various cognitive, sensory, and motor processes. This review aims to provide an overview of the recent advances in neuroimaging techniques for studying brain connectivity in neurological disorders. Furthermore, understanding the intricate patterns of brain connectivity has proven essential in unraveling the underlying mechanisms of neurological disorders. By investigating the aberrant connections and disruptions within these networks, researchers have made significant strides towards identifying potential biomarkers, elucidating disease progression, and developing novel therapeutic interventions.

One of the foremost breakthroughs in the field has been the application of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to assess brain connectivity. By measuring the synchronized activity between different brain regions, fMRI enables the mapping of large-scale networks known as Resting-State Networks (RSNs). These RSNs have been found to be perturbed in various neurological disorders, shedding light on the functional alterations that contribute to disease manifestation and symptomatology [1].

LITERATURE REVIEW

Neurological disorders, including Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and schizophrenia, are characterized by alterations in brain connectivity. Traditional neuroimaging methods, such as structural imaging, have provided valuable anatomical information but lack the ability to capture the dynamic interactions between brain regions. In recent years, advanced neuroimaging techniques have emerged, enabling the assessment of functional and structural connectivity in the brain.

Neurological disorders, such as Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and schizophrenia, pose significant challenges in diagnosis, treatment, and management. Understanding the underlying pathophysiology and identifying reliable biomarkers for these disorders is crucial for early detection, accurate diagnosis, and targeted therapeutic interventions. In recent years, neuroimaging techniques have emerged as powerful tools for studying brain connectivity, offering new insights into the functional and structural networks that are disrupted in neurological disorders [2].

Traditional anatomical imaging techniques, such as Computed Tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), provide detailed information about the brain's structure but offer limited insights into the dynamic interactions between brain regions. To overcome these limitations, advanced neuroimaging techniques have been developed to specifically investigate brain connectivity. These techniques enable the assessment of both functional and structural connectivity, providing a comprehensive view of how different brain regions communicate and interact.

Functional connectivity studies using fMRI have revealed resting-state networks and task-related networks that are altered in neurological disorders. These studies have identified specific brain regions and networks that show aberrant connectivity, offering valuable biomarkers for disease diagnosis and monitoring disease progression. On the other hand, DTI enables the visualization of white matter tracts and has unveiled disruptions in the structural connectivity of the brain, providing insights into the integrity of neuronal pathways. EEG, with its high temporal resolution, allows the assessment of functional connectivity and brain oscillations, aiding in the understanding of the dynamic neural processes underlying neurological disorders [3].

By leveraging these advanced neuroimaging techniques, researchers have made significant strides in unraveling the complex patterns of brain connectivity in neurological disorders. These findings have not only improved our understanding of the pathophysiology of these disorders but also hold promise for developing more precise diagnostic criteria and personalized treatment strategies. Moreover, neuroimaging-based biomarkers of brain connectivity have the potential to serve as objective measures for monitoring treatment response and assessing disease progression [4].

DISCUSSION

Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) allows the measurement of Blood Oxygenation Level-Dependent (BOLD) signals, providing insights into functional connectivity. Resting-state fMRI, task-based fMRI, and dynamic functional connectivity analysis have been employed to investigate altered connectivity patterns in neurological disorders. Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI) provides information about the integrity and orientation of white matter tracts, enabling the study of structural connectivity. DTI-based tractography has revealed disrupted white matter connections in various neurological disorders. Electroencephalography (EEG) measures the electrical activity of the brain, offering high temporal resolution for the analysis of functional connectivity and brain oscillations [5].

The application of these neuroimaging techniques has shed light on the altered brain connectivity patterns in neurological disorders. For instance, in Alzheimer's disease, disrupted functional connectivity in the default mode network and alterations in white matter tracts have been observed. Parkinson's disease is associated with abnormal functional connectivity within the basal ganglia-thalamocortical network. Schizophrenia is characterized by aberrant functional connectivity in multiple brain networks, including the default mode network and salience network [6].

CONCLUSION

Advances in neuroimaging techniques have greatly enhanced our understanding of brain connectivity in neurological disorders. Functional and structural connectivity studies using fMRI, DTI, and EEG have provided valuable insights into the altered brain networks and connectivity patterns associated with various neurological disorders. These findings have the potential to improve disease understanding, aid in early diagnosis, and guide the development of targeted interventions for neurological disorders. Future research should focus on further refining and integrating these neuroimaging techniques to unravel the intricate dynamics of brain connectivity in neurological disorders and translate this knowledge into clinical applications.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES

1. **Wolpaw JR, McFarland DJ, Vaughan TM.** Brain-computer interface research at the Wadsworth Center. *IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng*. 2000;8(2):222-226.
2. **Shih JJ, Krusienski DJ, Wolpaw JR.** Brain-computer interfaces in medicine. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2012;1 (3)268-279.
3. **Machado S, Araújo F, Paes F, et al.** EEG-based brain-computer interfaces: an overview of basic concepts and clinical applications in neurorehabilitation. *Rev Neurosci*. 2010;21(6):451-468.
4. **Sellers EW, Vaughan TM, Wolpaw JR.** A brain-computer interface for long-term independent home use. *Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis*. 2010;11(5):449-455.
5. **Martel A, Dähne S, Blankertz B.** EEG predictors of covert vigilant attention. *J Neural Eng*. 2014;11(3):035009.
6. **Langs G, Wang D, Golland P, Mueller S, et al.** Identifying shared brain networks in individuals by decoupling functional and anatomical variability. *Cerebral Cortex*. 2016;26(10):4004-14.