

Research on Pregnant Women's In Health Disparities

Kristen Burrows*

Department of Medical Oncology,
University of Colorado Boulder, USA

Corresponding author: Kristen Burrows

✉ KristenBurrows66@gmail.com

Department of Medical Oncology, University
of Colorado Boulder, USA

Citation: Kristen Burrows (2021) Research on
Pregnant Women's In Health Disparities.
Health Sys Policy Res, Vol.9 No. 8: 142.

Abstract

Ideal, and there are still mortality and CRC screening discrepancies, particularly among patients of colour. Health systems are well-positioned to address this significant population health issue because the majority of CRC screening takes performed in primary care. The majority of health systems, however, have not made a concerted effort to find and put into place efficient evidence-based intervention techniques that can increase CRC screening rates and decrease disparities. Our project team used a learning community strategy to assist two health systems in southeast Pennsylvania in identifying evidence-based CRC screening interventions for primary care patients, drawing on the Collective Impact Model and the Interactive Systems Framework for Dissemination and Implementation.

Keywords: Health systems financing; Health systems financing; Health systems financing; Human health rights

Received: 01-August-2022, Manuscript No. IPHSPR- 22-13026; **Editor assigned:** 05-August-2022, PreQC No. IPHSPR-22-13026; **Reviewed:** 19-August-2022, QC No. IPHSPR- 22-13026; **Revised:** 23-August-2022, Manuscript No. IPHSPR-22-13026 (R); **Published:** 31-August-2022, DOI: 10.36648/2254-9137.22.9.142

Introduction

A coordination team, steering committee, and patient and stakeholder advisory group were initially activated as part of this strategy to find potential CRC intervention techniques [1]. A plan to make stool blood tests and colonoscopies more accessible to minority patients [2]. In order to tackle the challenge of reducing an important health disparity in the patient populations served by the two health systems, the coordinating team and steering committee involved the advisory committee for patients and stakeholders from the health systems in planning for intervention implementation [3]. Additionally, it is suggested that the development of learning communities based in health systems could not only assist those systems in addressing significant health issues faced by the patient populations they serve, but also pave the way for the transformation of those systems into learning health care systems that can scale and implement successful methods for enhancing population health. There are three main flaws in this study the first is the distinction between Spanish- and English-speaking people [4]. The language they speak at home was the main topic of the original query. People who only or primarily speak Spanish at home may be able to communicate extremely well in English at work or in public. Second, with the exception of the number of missing teeth, the data are based on self-reported information [5]. As a result, some measurements

in the data may be off. Third, the sample is overrepresented by Mexican Americans. As a result, it is difficult to understand the complexity of the Hispanic population [6]. The extent of social gradients' influence on the number of missing teeth is the final point [7]. To ensure that patients from populations targeted for screening, primary care clinicians, health system officials, and other stakeholders were represented in the learning community, the Center for Health Decisions initially established the CT, a component of the learning community. The challenge of teaching health system representatives about CRC screening rates, finding evidence-based intervention strategies that could be applied to address screening disparities, and learning how to get community feedback on those strategies that could be applied to raise current screening rates was also one that CT members embraced. The SC, another essential part of the learning community, collaborated with the CT to assess CRC screening [8]. The SC represented health system leadership and stakeholders from different healthcare organisations [9]. Recruitment of minority women from disadvantaged communities is a persistent difficulty in health disparities research [10]. These difficulties include the absence of lead investigators from minority groups, trust, positive relationships with participants and the community, and desire in participating in research [11]. This article's goals are to discuss effective recruitment techniques used in health disparities research with pregnant Puerto Rican women and

to make recommendations for how to make future studies on health disparities successful. The building of trust, encouraging stakeholders to actively participate, and strengthening the research pipeline with undergraduate and graduate nursing students are some of the suggested solutions participants in the Myers [12]. Randomised experiment included 764 African American patients aged 50 to 75 who were not screened for CRC [13]. They were located in 13 different primary care offices across the health system [14]. Preference for screening tests was evaluated at the beginning. Participants in the study were randomly assigned to either a navigation group or a mailed intervention group [15]. A personalised letter with a phone number to make a colonoscopy appointment, an SBT kit, and a booklet with information about CRC screening were sent to the intervention group that underwent mail delivery. The CRC screening informational pamphlet was provided to every member of the navigation group. In contrast to individuals who did not indicate a preference for SBT screening, those who did receive instructions for scheduling a colonoscopy also received an SBT kit. These variations have a relationship with social, economic, and environmental aspects. Some health inequalities are the result of unfair and unjust circumstances that have an impact on a person's health. All throughout a person's life, they may contribute to health issues. Your health and the treatment you receive to stay well might be impacted by health inequities. Over the past thirty years, the majority of Americans' health has improved in the United States. Not all organisations have experienced this, though. A variety of factors contribute to health disparities. Social factors influencing health are some well-known causes of health disparities. The environments in which you are born, develop, work, live, and age have an impact on your health over the course of your life. Historically under-resourced groups are frequently impacted by multiple social health determinants. You can learn more about the resources that can make it easier for you to lead a healthier life by answering the questions that follow, some of which may not be accessible to all groups. You can have a better understanding of how these ordinary items may impact your health by responding to these questions.

Discussion

The more times you say "yes," the more favourable the circumstances are for leading a healthy life. You'll see that while some of them are beyond of your control, others might be. The March of Dimes works to guarantee that all mothers and infants have equitable access to the medical care they require to remain healthy. We are examining how social determinants relate to one other. Health disparities have an effect on various aspects of health. For instance, certain demographic groups are more prone than others to develop long-term health issues like diabetes or high blood pressure. Some groups may be more prone than others to lack access to high-quality medical care and illness-prevention measures like immunizations. Disparities in health also exist in pregnancy-related disorders. For instance, certain groups of women are more susceptible than others to disorders such To ensure that all pregnant women have healthy pregnancies and healthy babies, March of Dimes is devoted to eradicating health inequities. Our attention is on these areas. To ensure that all

pregnant women have healthy pregnancies and healthy babies, March of Dimes is devoted to eradicating health inequities. Today's maternal health care still suffers from important health inequities despite several medical advancements and improvements. At the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development of the National Institutes of Health, we spoke with Juanita Chinn, Ph.D., programme director in the Population Dynamics Branch. Health disparities, as defined by the Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion at the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, are inequalities in health that are closely associated with a social, economic, or environmental disadvantage. These inequalities have a negative effect on groups of persons who have faced greater health challenges due to their race or ethnicity. For instance, Black women in the US have a three to four time's higher risk of passing away during pregnancy. For instance, Black women in the U.S. have a three to four time's higher risk of dying from pregnancy-related reasons than White women, independent of their level of education or access to medical treatment. In addition, compared to white women, black women are more than twice as likely to have a stillbirth, and black new-borns are more than twice as likely to pass away in the first year.

Conclusion

We will all pass away at some point, but how and when you pass away is greatly influenced by your social environment and experiences, according to Dr. Chinn. You shouldn't let others dictate how long you live." These worrying instances highlight the importance of addressing health inequities related to pregnancy and childbirth, according to Dr. Chinn. "We can identify clinical characteristics that increase risk for women." The issue of health disparities in maternal health and care is getting worse, according to a range of recent NIH-supported studies. It also highlights the want for fresh approaches to enhance pregnancy and delivery outcomes. One recent study, directed by Rachel Hardeman, Ph.D., examined if women's delivery outcomes were worse as a result of the 2020 events in Minneapolis, Minnesota. More than half of the women who participated in the study said they felt the events had an impact on their current pregnancies. After giving birth to premature infants that were underweight or died, approximately 60% of those moms continued to do so. Maeve Wallace, Ph.D., led another recent study that examined non-pregnancy-related causes of death in Louisiana throughout pregnancy and the year following delivery. 13 percent of the 119 pregnancy-related deaths that occurred in 2016 and 2017 were murders. In order to properly comprehend how the environment, including the social environment, affects disparities in severe maternal morbidity and maternal death, these lines of research go beyond individual health habits "says Dr. Chinn. "The identification of chances for intervention and prevention of these negative maternal outcomes should also benefit from this, one can only hope.

Acknowledgement

None

Conflict of Interest

None

References

- 1 Ferreira Pinto JB, Ramos R (1995) HIV/AIDS prevention among female sexual partners of injection drug users in Ciudad Juarez, Mexico. *AIDS Care* 7: 477-488.
- 2 Schroy PC, Emmons KM, Peters E (2012) Aid-assisted decision making and colorectal cancer screening: A randomized controlled trial. *Am J Prev Med* 43: 573-583.
- 3 Brach C, Fraser I (2000) Can cultural competency reduce racial and ethnic health disparities? A review and conceptual model. *Med Care Res Rev* 57: 181-217.
- 4 Hammer CS, Lawrence FR, Miccio AW (2008) Exposure to English before and after entry into Head Start: Bilingual children's receptive language growth in Spanish and English. *International Int J Biling Educ Biling* 11: 30-56.
- 5 Moreira RSM, Nico LS, Tomita NE, Ruiz T (2005) Oral health of Brazilian elderly: a systematic review of epidemiologic status and dental care access. *Cad Saúde Pública* 21: 1665-1675.
- 6 Winkleby MA, Jatulis DE, Frank E, Fortmann SP (1992) Socio economic status and health: how education, income, and occupation contribute to risk factors for cardiovascular disease. *Am J Public Health* 82: 816-820.
- 7 Emerson E, Graham H, Hatton C (2006) Household income and health status in children and adolescents in Britain. *Eur J Public Health* 16: 354-360.
- 8 Gupta S, Halm EA, Rockey DC (2013) Comparative effectiveness of fecal immunochemical test outreach, colonoscopy outreach, and usual care for boosting colorectal cancer screening among the underserved: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med* 173: 1725-1732.
- 9 Paskett ED, DeGraffinreid C, Tatum CM, Margitic SE (1996) The recruitment of African-Americans to cancer prevention and control studies. *Prev Med* 25: 547-553.
- 10 Kost RG, Lee LM, Yessis J, Coller BS (2011) Henderson DK The Research Participant Perception Survey Focus Group Subcommittee. Assessing research participants' perceptions of their clinical research experiences. *Clin Transl Sci* 4: 403-413.
- 11 Horntvedt MET, Nordsteien A, Fermann T, Severinsson E (2018) Strategies for teaching evidence-based practice in nursing education: a thematic literature review. *BMC Med Educ* 18: 172.
- 12 Estabrooks CA, Norton P, Birdsell JM, Newton MS, Adewale AJ, et al. (2008) Knowledge translation and research careers: Mode I and Mode II activity among health researchers. *Res Policy* 37: 1066-1078.
- 13 Freeman G, Hjortdahl P (1997) What Future for Continuity of Care in General Practice? *BMJ* 314: 1870-1873.
- 14 Talbot Smith A, Gnani S, Pollock AM, Gray DP (2004) Questioning the Claims from Kaiser. *Br J Gen Pract* 54: 415-421.
- 15 Suresh KP (2011) An overview of randomization techniques: An unbiased assessment of outcome in clinical research. *J Hum Reprod Sci* 4: 8-11.